Electrolytic Partial Fluorination of Organic Compounds. 23.¹ Regioselective Anodic Difluorination of Sulfides Using **Novel Fluorine Source Et4NF·4HF**

Akinori Konno² and Toshio Fuchigami*

Department of Electronic Chemistry, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Nagatsuta, Midori-ku, Yokohama 226, Japan

Received July 9, 1997

Introduction

Selective fluorination of organic molecules has attracted much interest because a number of partially fluorinated organic molecules are reported to show unique chemical and physical properties and, in some cases, biological activities.³⁻⁷ Among them, difluoromethylene compounds attract interest because the structure is isopolar and isosteric with an ether oxygen which is contained in many biologically active compounds.³ The difluoromethylene group is prepared from the corresponding compound using various reagents such as molybdenum hexafluoride,⁸ selenium tetrafluoride,⁹ sulfur tetrafluoride,¹⁰ and (dimethylamido)sulfur trifluoride (DAST).¹¹ However, these reagents are highly toxic and their use requires severe reaction conditions. Recently, oxidative fluorodesulfurization of dithioacetals such as 1,3-dithiolanes was successfully conducted using chemical oxidants¹²⁻¹⁴ or electrochemical oxidation^{15,16} in the presence of fluoride ion. Even in these methods, a large amount of hazardous oxidant is required for the reactions¹²⁻¹⁴ or the structure of starting dithioacetal is limited.^{15,16} Recently, we successfully conducted highly regioselective anodic monofluorinations of organo chalcogen compounds in acetonitrile using Et₃N·3HF as a fluorine source and a supporting electrolyte.¹⁷ We also attempted direct difluorination of these compounds. Difluorination occurred regioselectively, but current efficiencies were extremely low due to competitive oxidation of Et₃N·3HF and a monofluorinated product.¹⁷ Recently, Momota et al. reported the anodic fluorination of benzene using a novel molten salt Et₄NF·4HF as a fluorine source

- (2) Present address: Faculty of Engineering, Shizuoka University.
 (3) Biomedicinal Aspects of Fluorine Chemistry, Filler, R., Kobayashi, Y., Eds.; Kodansha & Elsevier Biomedical: Tokyo, 1982.
- (4) Welch, J. T. *Tetrahedron* **1987**, *43*, 3123.
 (5) Welch, J. T.; Eswarakrishnan, S. *Fluorine in Bioorganic Chem*istry; Wiley: New York, 1991.
- (6) Yoshioka, H.; Nakayama, C.; Matsuo, N. J. Synth. Org. Chem. Jpn. 1984, 42, 809.
- (7) Narizuka, S.; Fuchigami, T. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1995, 5, 1293
- (8) Mathey, F.; Bensoam, J. Tetrahedron 1975, 31, 391. (9) Olah, G. A.; Nojima, M.; Kerekes, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 925
- (10) Boswell, G. A., Ripka, W. C.; Schribner, R. M.; Tullock, C. W. Org. React. 1974, 21, 1.
- (11) Middleton, W. H. *J. Org. Chem.* **1975**, *40*, 574. (12) Sondej, S. C.; Katzenellenbogen, J. A. *J. Org. Chem.* **1986**, *51*, 35Ò8.
 - (13) Kuroboshi, M.; Hiyama, T. Synlett. 1991, 909.

(13) Kuroboshi, M.; Hiyama, T. Synlett. 1991, 909.
(14) Motherwell, W. B.; Wilkinson, J. A. Synlett. 1991, 191.
(15) Yoshiyama, T.; Fuchigami, T. Chem. Lett. 1992, 1995.
(16) Fuchigami, T.; Fujita, T. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 7190.
(17) (a) Fuchigami, T.; Shimojo, M.; Konno, A.; Nakagawa, K. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 6074. (b) Fuchigami, T.; Shimojo, M.; Konno, A. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 3459 and references therein.

Table 1. Effect of Supporting Electrolytes on Anodic Difluorination of Ethyl α-(Phenylthio)Acetate

	supporting	anodic potential,	charge passed	product yield %	
run	electrolyte	V vs Ag/Ag ⁺	F/mol	2a	3a
1	Et ₃ N·3HF ^a	2.2	20.7	4	52
2	$Et_4NF \cdot 4HF^b$	1.4 - 2.0	4.0	11	6
3	$Et_4NF \cdot 4HF$	1.6 - 1.9	4.0	4	52
4	$Bu_4NF \cdot 3H_2O$	с	2.0	0	0
5	PhCH ₂ NMe ₃ ·HF ₂	2.4	3.4	0	0

^a Starting material is a monofluoro derivative 2a. ^b No solvent; Et₄NF·4HF (30 mL). ^c Constant current (10 mA/cm²) electrolysis.



and a solvent.¹⁸ Even benzene, which has high oxidation potential (> 2.0 V vs SCE), can be fluorinated anodically in Et₄NF·4HF. This result prompted us to conduct direct anodic difluorination of sulfides using Et₄NF·4HF as a fluorine source.

Results and Discussion

Anodic difluorination of ethyl a-(phenylthio)acetate (1a) was investigated under several conditions as shown in Table 1. As we reported previously,¹⁷ under conventional anodic monofluorination conditions (0.37 M Et₃N·3HF in acetonitrile), a large excess amount of electricity (20.7 F/mol) was required in order to complete the fluorination despite using monofluorinated sulfide 2a as a starting material (run 1).

Next, anodic difluorination of **1a** was conducted using Et₄NF·4HF as a fluorine source and a solvent (same conditions as the anodic fluorination of benzene¹⁸) (run 2). The starting sulfide and monofluorinated product were almost consumed (GC-mass analysis) after 4 F/mol of charge, theoretical amount for difluorination, was passed. This result suggested that the current efficiency should be improved by using Et₄NF·4HF compared to the case of using Et_3N ·3HF (run 1) as a fluorine source. However, the yield of the desired difluorinated product 3a was very low (6%). The ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of the crude product was complicated and indicated that the nonregioselective polyfluorination occurred, i.e., fluorination at the aromatic ring as well as at the α -position to the sulfur atom. The detection of diphenyl disulfide and its oxidation products by GC-mass analysis, suggested that oxidative cleavage of a carbon-sulfur bond also occurred. From these results, it is considered that fluorinating ability of Et₄NF·4HF is too high to fluorinate 1a regioselectively in the conditions of run 2.

To conduct anodic difluorination under milder conditions, acetonitrile was added as a solvent. Anodic difluorination of 1a in 0.2 M Et₄NF·4HF/CH₃CN proceeded smoothly without passivation of the anode to give a satisfactory result (run 3). It is notable that the current efficiency increased five times. The yield of difluorinated

⁽¹⁾ Part 22: Hou, Y.; Higashiya, S.; Fuchigami, T. J. Org. Chem., in press.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Momota, K.; Morita, M.; Matsuda, Y. Electrochim. Acta 1993, 38 1123



Figure 1. Current-potential curves: $0.37 \text{ M Et}_3\text{N}\cdot3\text{HF/MeCN}$ (Δ), 0.1 M PhSCHFCOOEt in 0.37 M Et_3N $\cdot3\text{HF/MeCN}$ (\bigcirc), 0.2 M Et_4NF $\cdot4\text{HF/MeCN}$ (\blacktriangle).

 Table 2. Anodic Difluorination of Sulfides Bearing

 Electron-Withdrawing Substituents

	sulfide			anodic potential,	charge passed,	product yield, %	
run	no.	R	EWG	V vs Ag/Ag ⁺	F/mol	2a	3a
1 2 3 4 5	1a 1b 1c 1d	Ph Ph Ph Ph CaHit	COOEt COOBn PO(OEt) ₂ CN COOEt	$1.6-1.9 \\ 1.5-1.9 \\ 1.8-2.1 \\ 1.8-2.0 \\ 1.9-2.3 $	4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0	4 2 0 30 2	52 52 50 30 53

product **3a** was reasonable considering the lack of efficient methods for direct difluorination of a methylene group. Anodic fluorination using other fluorine sources and supporting electrolytes were not effective as shown in Table 1 (runs 4 and 5). In these cases, electrolysis gave a complex mixture containing oxygenated products detected by GC-mass analysis, and fluorinated products were not detected by ¹⁹F NMR at all. The best yield and current efficiency of **3a** were obtained using Et₄NF·4HF as a supporting electrolyte and acetonitrile as a solvent.

This improvement by using Et₄NF·4HF instead of Et₃N·3HF is well explained by considering current—potential relationships of electrolytes (Figure 1). As anodic potential was increased, the oxidation of Et₃N·3HF started around 1.2 V vs Ag/Ag⁺ at which potential monofluorinated sulfide **2a** is oxidized considerably. On the other hand, Et₄NF·4HF is almost stable even at the potential of 2.0 V vs Ag/Ag⁺. Figure 1 clearly shows that the current efficiency of anodic difluorination increases markedly when oxidation potentials of supporting electrolytes are high enough. Thus, in the electrolyte containing Et₄NF·4HF, anodic oxidation of monofluorinated product took place preferably.

It is also notable that, in this anodic difluorination, the addition of acetonitrile as a solvent resulted in improvement of the yield of the desired difluorinated product. This is sharp contrast to the case of anodic fluorination of benzene: i.e., the addition of acetonitrile resulted in decrease of both the yield and the current efficiency of fluorination.¹⁹

The results of anodic difluorinations of several sulfides having electron-withdrawing groups were summarized in Table 2. In all cases, anodic difluorination takes place α to the sulfur atom regioselectively. For example, neither aromatic fluorination nor benzylic fluorination



was observed in the fluorination of benzyl α -(phenylthio)acetate (1b) (run 2). It is remarkable that anodic difluorination proceeded with such a high regioselectivity despite higher anodic potential required for difluorination compared to monofluorination. Phosphonate derivative 1c was also difluorinated in fairly good yield, and the difluorinated product 3c should be a promising building block for biologically interested difluoromethylenephosphonates²⁰ (run 3). The yield of difluorinated product from cyano derivative 1d was rather low (run 4). Anodic difluorination of aliphatic sulfide 1e also occurred as efficiently as phenyl sulfides (run 5). It is noteworthy that these fluorinations could all be conducted in an undivided cell because reduction of protons of hydrogen fluoride took place at the cathode predominantly, and fluorinated products were not reduced under these conditions.

In conclusion, direct anodic difluorination of sulfides having electron-withdrawing groups at α to the sulfur atom was successfully carried out with high current efficiencies and in reasonable yields using a novel molten salt Et_4NF+4HF as a supporting electrolyte and a fluorine source in acetonitrile. Furthermore, biologically interesting difluoromethylenephosphonate could be obtained directly from nonfluorinated methylenephosphonate in good yield by this method.

Experimental Section

Caution: Et₄NF·4HF is toxic, and contact with skin causes serious burns. Et₃N·3HF is much less aggressive. However, proper safety precautions should be taken at all times. It is therefore recommended to refer to an authoritative paper²¹ for treatment of HF and related compounds.

¹H NMR and ¹⁹F NMR spectra were recorded at 270 or 90 MHz using CDCl₃ as a solvent. The chemical shifts for ¹H and ¹⁹F NMR are given in δ (ppm) downfield from internal Me₄Si and from external CF₃COOH, respectively. High-resolution mass spectra were obtained with a Hitachi M-80B GC-mass spectrometer. Cyclic voltammetric and preparative electrolysis experiments were carried out using a Hokutodenko HA-501 Potentiostat/Galvanostat equipped with a Hokutodenko HF-201 digital coulometer.

Anodic Difluorination of Sulfides. Typical anodic difluorination conditions are as follows. Electrolysis was conducted with a platinum anode and cathode [6 cm^2 ($2 \times 3 \text{ cm}$)] in 0.2 M Et₄NF·4HF/CH₃CN (30 mL) containing 3.0 mmol of sulfides using a cylindrical undivided cell made of PFA resin at ambient temperature. After the starting sulfide and monofluorinated intermediate were almost consumed (monitored by silica gel TLC and GC-mass spectra), the electrolysis solution was passed through a short column of silica gel (CH₂Cl₂) to yield an almost pure difluorinated product.

Ethyl α,α-**difluoro**-α-**(phenylthio)acetate (3a)**: ¹H NMR δ 1.25 (t, 3H, J = 7.0 Hz), 4.22 (q, 2H, J = 7.0 Hz), 7.0–7.8 (m, 5H); ¹⁹F NMR δ –3.3 (s); IR 3010, 1785, 1480, 1450, 1380, 1300, 1135, 1120, 1075, 1025, 980, 760, 695 cm⁻¹; MS *m/e* 232 (M⁺), 159 (M⁺ – COOEt), 109 (PhS⁺), 77 (Ph⁺). Anal. Calcd for C₁₀H₁₀F₂O₂S: C, 51.72; H, 4.34. Found: C, 51.75; H, 4.51.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Momota, K.; Morita, M.; Matsuda, Y. *Electrochim. Acta* **1993**, *38*, 619.

⁽²⁰⁾ Blades, K.; Cockerill, G. S.; Easterfield, H. J.; Lequeux, T. P.;
Percy, J. M. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1996**, 1615.
(21) Peters, D.; Miethchen, R. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **1996**, *79*, 161.

Notes

Benzyl α,α-**difluoro**-α-**(phenylthio)acetate (3b)**: ¹H NMR δ 5.21 (s, 2H), 7.2–7.5 (m, 8H), 7.5–7.6 (m, 2H); ¹⁹F NMR δ –3.3 (s); IR 3065, 3040, 2960, 1765, 1500, 1475, 1455, 1445, 1285, 1105, 990, 750, 690 cm⁻¹; MS *m/e* 294 (M⁺), 159 (M⁺ – COOCH₂Ph), 109 (PhS⁺), 91 (PhCH₂⁺), 77 (Ph⁺); calcd for C₁₅H₁₂F₂O₂S *m/e* 294.0526, found 294.0518.

Diethyl α,α-**difluoro**-α-(**phenylthio**)**methylphosphonate** (**3c**): ¹H NMR δ 1.38 (t, 6H, J = 7.1 Hz), 4.30 (m, 4H), 7.4–7.5 (m, 3H), 7.6–7.7 (m, 2H); ¹⁹F NMR δ –6.7 (d, 1F, J = 145 Hz), -7.1 (d, 1F, J = 145 Hz); IR 3080, 2960, 1275, 1117, 1036, 912, 750 cm⁻¹; MS *m/e* 296 (M⁺), 214 (PhPO(OEt)₂), 159 (M⁺ – PO-(OEt)₂), 109 (PhS⁺), 77 (Ph⁺); calcd for C₁₁H₁₅F₂O₃PS *m/e* 296.0447, found 296.0464.

α,α-difluoro-α-(phenylthio)acetonitrile (3d): ¹H NMR δ 7.3–7.8 (m); ¹⁹F NMR δ 8.6 (s); IR 3065, 2930, 2190, 1462, 1446, 1135, 940, 752, 700 cm⁻¹; MS *m/e* 185 (M⁺), 109 (PhS⁺), 77 (Ph⁺); calcd for $C_8H_5F_2NS$ *m/e* 185.0111, found 185.0117.

Ethyl α,α-**difluoro**-α-**(heptylthio)acetate (3e)**: ¹H NMR δ 0.89 (t, 3H, J = 5.7 Hz), 1.2–1.9 (m, 12H), 2.87 (t, 2H, J = 7.0

Hz), 4.36 (q, 2H, J = 7.2 Hz); ¹⁹F NMR δ –3.3 (s); IR 2932, 2862, 1771, 1468, 1373, 1296, 1102, 1017, 986, 723 cm⁻¹; MS *m/e* 254 (M⁺), 181 (M⁺ – COOEt), 131 (C₇H₁₅S⁺), 97 (C₇H₁₃⁺); calcd for C₁₁H₂₀F₂O₂S *m/e* 254.1152, found 254.1146.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to Dr. Kunitaka Momota of Morita Chemical Industries Co. Ltd. for his generous gift of $Et_4NF\cdot 4HF$.

Supporting Information Available: ¹H NMR one-dimensional spectra for compounds **3b-e** (4 pages). This material is contained in libraries on microfiche, immediately follows this article in the microfilm version of the journal, and can be ordered from the ACS; see any current masthead page for ordering information.

JO971248I